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Announcements

- Project 1 is due tonight
- Written 2 is going out tonight, due next Thursday
- Section
- OH

Today

- Finish up Search and CSPs
- Intermezzo on A* and heuristics
- Start on Adversarial Search

CSPs: our status

- So far:
  - CSPs are a special kind of search problem:
    - States defined by values of a fixed set of variables
    - Goal test defined by constraints on variable values
  - Backtracking = depth-first search with incremental constraint checks
  - Ordering: variable and value choice heuristics help significantly
  - Filtering: forward checking, arc consistency prevent assignments that guarantee later failure

- Today:
  - Structure: Disconnected and tree-structured CSPs are efficient
  - Iterative Improvement: min-conflicts is usually effective in practice

Example: Map-Coloring

- Variables: WA, NT, Q, NSW, V, SA, T
- Domain: $D = \{\text{red, green, blue}\}$
- Constraints: adjacent regions must have different colors
  - $(WA, NT) \not\in \{(\text{red, green}), (\text{red, blue}), (\text{green, red})\}$
- Solutions are assignments satisfying all constraints, e.g.
  - $(WA = \text{red}, NT = \text{green}, Q = \text{red}, NSW = \text{green}, V = \text{red}, SA = \text{blue}, T = \text{green})$

Constraint Graphs

- Binary CSP: each constraint relates (at most) two variables
- Binary constraint graph: nodes are variables, arcs show constraints
- General-purpose CSP algorithms use the graph structure to speed up search.  
  E.g., Tasmania is an independent subproblem!
Tree-Structured CSPs

**Theorem:** If the constraint graph has no loops, the CSP can be solved in \(O(n d^2)\) time.

- Compare to general CSPs, where worst-case time is \(O(d^n)\).

This property also applies to probabilistic reasoning (later); an important example of the relation between syntactic restrictions and the complexity of reasoning.

**Choose a variable as root, order variables from root to leaves such that every node’s parent precedes it in the ordering.**

- For \(i = 1 \rightarrow 2\), apply \(\text{RemoveInconsistent} (\text{Parent}(X_i), X_i)\)
- For \(i = 1 \rightarrow n\), assign \(X_i\) consistently with \(\text{Parent}(X_i)\)

Runtime: \(O(n d^2)\) (why?)

Why does this work?

**Claim:** After each node is processed leftward, all nodes to the right can be assigned in any way consistent with their parent.

**Proof:** Induction on position.

Why doesn’t this algorithm work with loops?

Note: we’ll see this basic idea again with Bayes’ nets.

Nearly Tree-Structured CSPs

- Conditioning: instantiate a variable, prune its neighbors’ domains.
- Cutset conditioning: instantiate (in all ways) a set of variables such that the remaining constraint graph is a tree.
- Cutset size \(c\) gives runtime \(O((d^c (n-c) d^2))\), very fast for small \(c\).

CSPs: our status

- So far:
  - CSPs are a special kind of search problem:
    - States defined by values of a fixed set of variables
    - Goal test defined by constraints on variable values
  - Backtracking = depth-first search with incremental constraint checks
  - Ordering: variable and value choice heuristics help significantly
  - Filtering: forward checking, arc consistency prevent assignments that guarantee later failure

- Today:
  - Structure: Disconnected and tree-structured CSPs are efficient
  - Iterative improvement: min-conflicts is usually effective in practice
Iterative Algorithms for CSPs

- Local search methods: typically work with “complete” states, i.e., all variables assigned
- To apply to CSPs:
  - Start with some assignment with unsatisfied constraints
  - Operators reassign variable values
  - No fringe! Live on the edge.
- Variable selection: randomly select any conflicted variable
- Value selection by min-conflicts heuristic:
  - Choose value that violates the fewest constraints
  - I.e., hill climb with \( h(n) = \text{total number of violated constraints} \)

Example: 4-Queens

- States: 4 queens in 4 columns \( (4^4 = 256 \text{ states}) \)
- Operators: move queen in column
- Goal test: no attacks
- Evaluation: \( c(n) = \text{number of attacks} \)

Performance of Min-Conflicts

- Given random initial state, can solve n-queens in almost constant time for arbitrary \( n \) with high probability (e.g., \( n = 10,000,000 \))
- The same appears to be true for any randomly-generated CSP except in a narrow range of the ratio \( \frac{R}{n} \)

Hill Climbing

- Simple, general idea:
  - Start wherever
  - Always choose the best neighbor
- Why can this be a terrible idea?
  - Complete?
  - Optimal?
- What’s good about it?

Simulated Annealing

- Idea: Escape local maxima by allowing downhill moves
  - But make them rarer as time goes on

function SIMULATED-ANNEALING(problem, schedule) returns a solution state
inputs: problem, a problem
         schedule, a mapping from time to “temperature”
local variables: current, a node
                next, a node
\( T \), a “temperature” controlling prob. of downward steps

\[
current \leftarrow \text{MAKE-NODE}(\text{INITIAL-STATE}(\text{problem}))
\]
for \( t \) from 1 to \( \infty \) do
  \( T \leftarrow T \cdot T \) \text{ (slow down)}
  if \( \text{random} \) then return current
  \( next \leftarrow \text{a randomly selected successor of current} \)
  if \( \text{VALUE}(next) > \text{VALUE}(current) \) then current \( \leftarrow next \)
else current \( \leftarrow next \) only with probability \( \frac{e^{(Value(next) - Value(current)) / T}}{1} \)
CSPs Summary

- CSPs are a special kind of search problem:
  - States defined by values of a fixed set of variables
  - Goal test defined by constraints on variable values
- Backtracking = depth-first search with incremental constraint checks
- Ordering: variable and value choice heuristics help significantly
- Filtering: forward checking, arc consistency prevent assignments that guarantee later failure
- Structure: Disconnected and tree-structured CSPs are efficient
- Iterative improvement: min-conflicts is usually effective in practice

Intermezzo: A* heuristics --- 8 puzzle

What are the states? What are the actions? What is the cost?

Intermezzo: A* heuristics --- pacman trying to eat all food pellets

Consider an algorithm that takes the distance to the closest food pellet, say at (x,y). Then it adds the distance between (x,y) and the closest food pellet to (x,y), and continues this process until no pellets are left, each time calculating the distance from the last pellet. Is this heuristic admissible?

What if we used the Manhattan distance rather than distance in the maze in the above procedure?

Optimal way of eating all food pellets when ignoring walls?

Intermezzo: A* heuristics

- A particular procedure to quickly find a perhaps suboptimal solution to the search problem is in general not admissible.
  - It is only admissible if it always finds the optimal solution.
- A particular procedure to quickly find a perhaps suboptimal solution to a relaxed version of the search problem need not be admissible.
  - It will be admissible if it always finds the optimal solution to the relaxed problem.
Game Playing State-of-the-Art

- **Checkers:** Chinook ended 40-year reign of human world champion Marion Tinsley in 1994. Used an endgame database defining perfect play for all positions involving 8 or fewer pieces on the board, a total of 443,748,401,247 positions. Checkers is now solved.

- **Chess:** Deep Blue defeated human world champion Gary Kasparov in a six-game match in 1997. Deep Blue examined 200 million positions per second, used very sophisticated evaluation and undisclosed methods for extending some lines of search up to 40 ply. Current programs are even better, if less historic.

- **Othello:** Human champions refuse to compete against computers, which are too good.

- **Go:** Human champions are beginning to be challenged by machines, though the best humans still beat the best machines. In go, b > 300, so most programs use pattern knowledge bases to suggest plausible moves, along with aggressive pruning.

- **Pacman:** unknown

GamesCrafters

**http://gamescrafters.berkeley.edu/**

Run by Dan Garcia. Full for Spring. Check in with him for Fall 2010.

Game Playing

- **Many different kinds of games!**

- **Axes:**
  - Deterministic or stochastic?
  - One, two, or more players?
  - Perfect information (can you see the state)?

- **Want algorithms for calculating a strategy (policy) which recommends a move in each state**

Simple two-player game example

```
max

min

A B C
```

```