

# Wireless - there is no cat!

"You see, wire telegraph is a kind of a very, very long cat. You pull his tail in New York and his head is meowing in Los Angeles. And radio operates exactly the same way... The only difference is that there is no cat."

**Albert Einstein,**  
when asked to describe radio.

Yahel Ben-David  
Yahel @ eeecs.berkeley.edu

## EE 122: Intro to Communication Networks

Materials with thanks to Scott Shenker, Jennifer Rexford, Ion Stoica, Vern Paxson and other colleagues at Princeton and UC Berkeley



# Metrics for evaluation / comparison of wireless technologies

- Bitrate or Bandwidth
- Range - PAN, LAN, MAN, WAN
- Stationary / Mobile
- Two-way / One-way
- Digital / Analog
- Multi-Access / Point-to-Point
- Applications and industries
- Operating environment


- Frequency / Wavelength

# Metrics for evaluation / comparison of wireless technologies

- Frequency/Wave-Length -


C is the speed of light  
f is frequency  
 $\lambda$  (lambda) is wavelength

$$\lambda = \frac{C}{f} \quad f = \frac{C}{\lambda}$$




Affects most physical properties:  
Distance (free-space loss)  
Penetration, Reflection, Absorption  
Line of Sight (Fresnel zone)  
Size of antenna  
Energy proportionality  
Policy & Law: Licensed / Deregulated



# Modern art?



# Old mess!



# The Wireless Spectrum



# Common Wireless Standards

- Cellular (Typically 800/900/1800/1900Mhz):
  - 2G: GSM / GPRS / EDGE / CDMA / CDMA2000 /
  - 3G: UMTS/HSDPA/EVDO
  - 4G: LTE, WiMax
- IEEE 802.11 (aka WiFi):
  - b: 2.4Ghz band, 11Mbps (~4.5 Mbps operating rate)
  - g: 2.4Ghz, 54-108Mbps (~19 Mbps operating rate)
  - a: 5.0Ghz band, 54-108Mbps (~19 Mbps operating rate)
  - n: 2.4/5Ghz, 150-600Mbps (4x4 mimo).
- IEEE 802.15 - lower power wireless:
  - 802.15.1: 2.4Ghz, 2.1 Mbps (Bluetooth)
  - 802.15.4: 2.4Ghz, 250 Kbps (Sensor Networks)

# Wireless Link Characteristics




Figure 6.2 • Link characteristics of selected wireless network standards (Figure Courtesy of Kurose and Ross)

# Antennas / Aerials

An electrical device which converts electric currents into radio waves, and vice versa.



Gain: 2-3dB      8-12dB      15-18dB      28-34dB

Q: What does "higher-gain antenna" mean?

A: Antennas are passive devices - more gain means focused and more directional. Directionality means more energy gets to where it needs to go and less interference everywhere.

Q: What are omni-directional antennas?

# How many radios/antennas ?



- WiFi 802.11n - 2.4 & 5Ghz (MiMo?)
- 2G - GSM "Quad band" 800/900 & 1800/1900
- 3G - HSDPA+
- 4G - LTE
- Bluetooth
- NFC
- GPS Receiver
- FM-Radio receiver (antenna is the headphones cable)

# What has changed?



## What Makes Wireless Different?

- Broadcast medium...
  - Anybody in proximity can hear and interfere
- Cannot receive while transmitting...
  - Our own (or nearby) transmission is deafening our receiver
- Signals sent by sender don't always end up at receiver intact
  - Complicated physics involved, which we won't discuss
  - But what can go wrong?

15


## Path Loss / Path Attenuation

- Free Space Path Loss:
 
$$FSPL = \left(\frac{4\pi d}{\lambda}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{4\pi df}{c}\right)^2$$
  - $d$  = distance
  - $\lambda$  = wave length
  - $f$  = frequency
  - $c$  = speed of light

- Reflection, Diffraction, Absorption
- Terrain contours (Urban, Rural, Vegetation).
- Humidity

16

## Multipath Effects




- Signals bounce off surface and interfere with one another
- Self-interference

17


## Ideal Radios

(courtesy of Gilman Tolle and Jonathan Hui, ArchRock)




## Real Radios

(courtesy of Gilman Tolle and Jonathan Hui, ArchRock)



## \*The Amoeboid "cell"

(courtesy of David Culler, UCB)



20

## Interference from Other Sources

- \*External Interference
    - Microwave oven is turned on and blocks your signal
    - Would that affect the sender or the receiver?
  - \*Internal Interference
    - Nodes (of the same network) within range of each other collide with one another's transmission
- \*We have to tolerate external interference and path loss, multipath, etc.  
but we can avoid internal interference?

21

## Bitrate (aka data-rate)

- The higher the SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) - the higher the (theoretical) bitrate.
- Modern radios use adaptive /dynamic bitrates.

Q: In face of loss, should we decrease or increase the bitrate?

A: If caused by free-space loss or multi-path fading -lower the bitrate.  
If external interference - often higher bitrates (shorter bursts) are probabilistically better.

22

## Wireless Bit Errors

- The lower the SNR (Signal/Noise) the higher the Bit Error Rate (BER)
- We could make the signal stronger...
- Why is this not always a good idea?
  - Increased signal strength requires more power
  - Increases the interference range of the sender, so you interfere with more nodes around you
    - And then they increase their power.....
- How would TCP behave in face of losses?
- Local link-layer Error Correction schemes can correct **some** problems (should be TCP aware).

23

## 802.11

aka - WiFi ...  
What makes it special?

**Deregulation** > Innovation > Adoption > Lower cost = Ubiquitous technology

24

## 802.11 Architecture



- Designed for limited area
- AP's (Access Points) set to specific channel
- Broadcast beacon messages with SSID (Service Set Identifier) and MAC Address periodically
- Hosts scan all the channels to discover the AP's
  - Host associates with AP


25

## Wireless Multiple Access Technique

- Collision Detection?
  - Where do collisions occur?
  - How can you detect them?
- Carrier Sense?
  - Sender can listen before sending
  - What does that tell the sender?

26


## Hidden Terminals



- A and C can both send to B but **can't hear each other**
  - A is a *hidden terminal* for C and vice versa
- Carrier Sense will be **ineffective**

27

## Exposed Terminals



- **Exposed node:** B sends a packet to A; C hears this and decides not to send a packet to D (despite the fact that this will not cause interference)!
- Carrier sense would prevent a successful transmission.

28

## 5 Minute Break

29

## Key Points

- No concept of a **global collision**
  - Different receivers hear different signals
  - Different senders reach different receivers
- Collisions are at receiver, not sender
  - Only care if receiver can hear the sender clearly
  - It does not matter if sender can hear someone else
  - As long as that signal does not interfere with receiver
- Goal of protocol:
  - Detect if receiver can hear sender
  - Tell senders who might interfere with receiver to shut up


30

## Basic Collision Avoidance

- Since can't detect collisions, we try to **avoid** them
- Carrier sense:
  - When medium busy, choose random interval
  - Wait that many **idle** timeslots to pass before sending
- When a collision is inferred, retransmit with binary exponential backoff (like Ethernet)
  - Use **ACK** from receiver to infer "no collision"
  - Use exponential backoff to adapt contention window

31


## CSMA/CA - Collision Avoidance



- Before every data transmission
  - Sender sends a Request to Send (RTS) frame containing the length of the transmission
  - Receiver respond with a Clear to Send (CTS) frame
  - Sender sends data
  - Receiver sends an ACK; now another sender can send data
- When sender doesn't get a CTS back, it assumes collision

32


## CSMA/CA - Collision Avoidance



- If other nodes hear RTS, but not CTS: **send**
  - Presumably, destination for first sender is out of node's range ...

33

## CSMA/CA -MA with Collision Avoidance




- If other nodes hear RTS, but not CTS: **send**
  - Presumably, destination for first sender is out of node's range ...
  - ... Can cause problems when a CTS is **lost**
- When you hear a CTS, you keep quiet until scheduled transmission is over (hear ACK)

34

## RTS / CTS Protocols (CSMA/CA)

B sends to C




Overcome hidden terminal problems with contention-free protocol

1. B sends to C **Request To Send** (RTS)
2. A hears RTS and defers (to allow C to answer)
3. C replies to B with **Clear To Send** (CTS)
4. D hears CTS and defers to allow the data
5. B sends to C

35

## Preventing Collisions Altogether


- Frequency Spectrum partitioned into several channels
  - Nodes within interference range can use separate channels



- Now A and C can send without any interference!
- Most cards have only 1 transceiver
- Aggregate Network throughput doubles


37

## Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




Courtesy of Tianbo Kuang and Carey Williamson University of Calgary

## Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




42

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




(Assume ideal world...)


# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




49

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




50

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




51

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




52

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



53


# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



54




# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




55

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




56

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




57

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




58

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



59


# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



60

# The end of phone companies & ISPs?

- Self healing
- Multipath routing



62


# What Do YOU Think Really Happens?



63

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks

(Reality check...)




Relays needs to "Store and Forward".

64

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks


Problem 2: S and B can't use both of these links at same time  
 - range overlap at A



65

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks


Problem 3: LOTS of contention for the channel  
 - in steady state, all want to send  
 - need RTS/CTS to resolve contention



RTS: Request-To-Send  
 CTS: Clear-To-Send


66

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




67

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




68

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




69

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




70

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




71

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




72

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




73

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




74

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




75

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




76

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




77

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




78

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




79

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



80


# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



Problem 4: TCP uses ACKS to indicate reliable data delivery  
- bidirectional traffic (DATA, ACKS)  
- even more contention!!!


81

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




82

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




83

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




84

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




85

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




86

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




87

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




88

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




89

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




90

# Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks




91

## Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



92

## Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks



93

## Lesson

- Multi-hop wireless is hard to make efficient
- Store and forward
  - Halves the bandwidth for every hop.
  - Doubles the latency for every hop.
  - Increases Interference.
- Horrible idea for Internet access.
- Even worse for interactive applications (such as video-conferencing).

94

## Summary

- \* Wireless is a tricky beast
- \* Distributed multiple access problem
- \* Hidden terminals
- \* Exposed terminals
- \* Current protocols sufficient, given overprovisioning
- \* Multihop even more complicated

95

## Questions ?

- Thank you -

96